



Tampa Polygraph Services, LLC

James Orr Polygraph Examiner

FBI Special Agent, retired

(813) 504-2533

14502 N. Dale Mabry Highway, Suite 200

Tampa, Florida 33618

orrpoly@gmail.com

www.tampapolygraphservices.com

ALON ALEXANDER

POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REPORT

POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION DATE: JANUARY 13, 2025

DATE PREPARED: JANUARY 14, 2025

PREPARED FOR:

RICHARD C. KLUGH, P.A.

40 NW 3RD STREET, PENTHOUSE 1

MIAMI, FLORIDA 33128

REPORT BY:

JAMES T. ORR

CASE ID: 25-01133288

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page 2.....	Table of Contents
Page 3.....	Request for Polygraph Examination
Page 3.....	Background
Page 3.....	Suitability
Page 4.....	Consent and Interview
Page 4.....	Relevant Questions
Page 4.....	Methodology
Page 5.....	Examination Techniques
Page 5.....	Chart Evaluation
Page 5.....	Instrumentation

REQUEST FOR POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION

Richard C. Klugh, Esq. made a request of James T. Orr at Tampa Polygraph Services, LLC that his client, Alon Alexander, be afforded a polygraph examination to determine whether Alon Alexander ever had sex with any woman he knew had been covertly given drugs.

BACKGROUND

Review of the indictment and conversations with the attorneys revealed the following:

On December 11, 2024, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York returned a superseding indictment charging brothers Alon, Oren and Tal Alexander with: Count One - Conspiracy to Commit Sex Trafficking in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1594©.

Count Two – Sex Trafficking of Victim 1 by Force, Fraud, or Coercion in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1591(a) and (b)(1), and (2).

Count Three – Sex Trafficking of Victim 2 by Force, Fraud, or Coercion, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1591(a) and (b)(1), and (2).

The indictment alleges that, starting in or about 2021, Alon Alexander, Oren Alexander and Tal Alexander, and others known and unknown, operated a long-running sex trafficking scheme, as part of which they raped and sexually assaulted women whom they provided with material benefits, including domestic and international travel to vacation destinations, luxury accommodations at high-end hotels and vacation properties, and access to other luxury experiences and events. The scheme was alleged to have occurred in, among other places, Manhattan, New York and Miami, Florida, where the brothers maintained residences and where their businesses were based.

It is alleged that the brothers drugged their victims and, at times, used physical force to rape and sexually assault the victims, sometimes alone and sometimes together. They are alleged to have worked with other men to arrange these events and trips. The brothers deny the allegations and assert that the alleged victims referenced in the indictment, as well as other women who have made allegations against them, were not surreptitiously drugged and sexually assaulted.

SUITABILITY FOR POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION

Through observation and interview, Alon Alexander appeared to be physically and medically suitable to take a polygraph examination. He also appeared lucid and rational during the pre-test interview. An Acquaintance Test was administered as the first chart and revealed sufficient electro-dermal and cardiovascular reactivity to effectively conduct a polygraph examination.

CONSENT AND INTERVIEW

On January 13, 2025, Alon Alexander voluntarily agreed to an interview by means of a polygraph examination at the Federal Detention Center, 33 NE 4th Street, Miami, FL 33312. The examination room used was reasonably free of distractions. He stated that he understood the purpose for the polygraph examination and indicated willingness to take the polygraph examination by signing the "Consent to Polygraph Agreement" form after being given an overview of the polygraph process. Based on the background information noted above, the following relevant questions were formulated.

RELEVANT QUESTIONS

A polygraph examination was administered using the following relevant questions, all of which were answered "No":

1. Did you have sex with any woman when you knew she had been covertly given drugs?
2. In New York, Florida or elsewhere, did you have sex with any woman when you knew she had been covertly given drugs?
3. Did you have any kind of sex with any woman when you knew she had secretly been given drugs?
4. In New York, Florida or elsewhere, did you have any kind of sex with any woman when you knew she had secretly been given drugs?

"Sex" was defined as vaginal, anal or oral sex.

"Any woman" was defined as the two women referenced in the indictment or any other women who have made or may make similar claims.

"knew" was defined as he would know if he had personally drugged them, he saw somebody drug them or somebody told him they drugged them.

"Covertly and secretly" were defined as a woman being given drugs without her knowledge and consent.

"Drugs" were defined as being GHB, mushrooms, cocaine, roofies, or any other drug that could be used as a date rape drug.

METHODOLOGY

The technique used to examine Mr. Alexander was a Utah Zone Event Specific Probable Lie Comparison Question Test. Studies leading to its creation began in the 1970s through the efforts of Drs Raskin, Kircher and Honts and over 30 years of research support its principles. The Utah ZCT meets the APA January 1, 2012, Validated Techniques Requirement for Evidentiary testing, Paired Testing, and Investigative Testing. Note: Dr. Raskin testified in U. S. District Court, Middle District of Florida, in December 2014, that he reviewed a polygraph examination conducted by this examiner and that it was a properly conducted Utah examination meeting the

standards of the profession. Dr. Honts has also reviewed an exam conducted by writer and found it to be properly and professionally conducted.

EXAMINATION TECHNIQUES

A stimulation test was administered as the first chart to assess the examinee's physiology and reactivity by directing the examinee to lie on a particular number in a sequence of numbers. During this process, the examinee's basic reactivity was assessed. This assessment is used to evaluate fitness for continued testing, to adjust the instrument to the examinee's physiology and for comparison with the subsequent polygraph charts. The examinee's response patterns were acceptable, and he reacted appropriately when telling a directed lie. A comparison question technique was utilized using probable lies. Four relevant questions were asked and three charts were collected.

CHART EVALUATION

Spot analyses are made at the relevant question spots for each of the four components used: two components used to record respiratory responses, one component used to record galvanic skin response (sweat gland activity), one component to record changes in cardiovascular activity (changes in blood pressure and heart rate) and one component to record peripheral vasomotor activity (changes in blood volume in the extremities). The analysis is completed by comparing the reactions to relevant questions with the reactions to comparison questions.

Sufficient reactivity was observed and quantified, thus allowing for objective numerical scoring using the Empirical Scoring System-Multinomial (ESS-M). Numerical scoring is the standard for both evidentiary and investigative examinations. There were no indications of overt distortion of the data.

Research has shown that the most accurate way to evaluate a diagnostic polygraph examination is to use grand total scores. With ESS-M, a grand total score of +3 or greater is an indicator of truthfulness and a grand total score of -3 or less is indicative of deception. Total numerical scores between +3 and -3 are considered inconclusive, unless there is a spot score of -7 or less, which is indicative of deception, using the two-stage rule. The examiner's evaluation resulted in a score of +21. These analytic results support the conclusion that there were NO SIGNIFICANT REACTIONS INDICATIVE OF DECEPTION in the loading of recorded changes in physiological activity in response to the relevant test stimuli during this examination.

Objective Scoring System 3, a computer scoring algorithm, concurred with the examiner's opinion with a probability of error of 0.003.

INSTRUMENTATION

A Lafayette LX6 computerized polygraph with Software Version 11.8.6 was utilized for this examination. This instrument is pre-calibrated by the manufacturer with a stable long-term

Polygraph Examination of Alon Alexander on January 13, 2025

PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

circuit design. In accordance with manufacturer recommendations, self-calibration of the various sensors was conducted prior to the examination, and all were found to be acceptable. Lafayette Activity Monitors were used for feet, seat, and arms during this examination.



James T. Orr

Lafayette Instrument Company

Objective Scoring System - Version 3

By Raymond Nelson, Mark Handler and Donald Krapohl (2007)

Result

Description

Exam Type

Scoring Method

Test of Proportions

PF Name

Report Date

Subject

Examiner

No Significant Reactions

p-value: 0.003 - Probability this result was produced by a deceptive person

Event Specific/Single Issue (Zone)

OSS-3 Two-stage (Senter 2003)

0.415 - No significant differences in artifact distribution

Spot Scores		Decision Alpha (1 tailed)			Components		
ID	p-value	Result	Setting	Cumulative normal distribution (Barland 1985)	Value	Component	Weight
R1	0.814		NSR		0.050	Pneumo	0.19
R2	< 0.001		SR		0.050	EDA	0.53
R3	< 0.001		Bonferroni corrected alpha		0.013	Cardio	0.28
R4	0.002		Test of Proportions (1 tailed)		0.050		

Relevant Questions

ID	Question Text	Answer
R1	Did you have sex with any woman when you knew she had been covertly given drugs?	No
R2	In New York, Florida or elsewhere, did you have sex with any woman when you knew she had been covertly given drugs?	No
R3	Did you have any kind of sex with any woman when you knew she had secretly been given drugs?	No
R4	In New York, Florida or elsewhere, did you have any kind of sex with any woman when you knew she had secretly been given drugs?	No

Charts Scored

Exam	Chart	Date	Time
2	1	1/13/2025	1:12 PM
2	2	1/13/2025	1:20 PM
2	3	1/13/2025	1:28 PM

Remarks

Measurements							
	(Kircher and Raskin 1988)						
	Exam 2 Chart 1						
P1	C1 A500	R1 A523	C2 A484	R2 A577	C3 A486	R3 A498	R4 A483
P2	A521	A560	A507	A599	A512	A561	A567
EDA	158	117	18	47	19	A60	38
Cardio	67	87	15	99	63	A105	40
SE	142	150	136	146	122	154	159
AR	144	126	118	127	124	144	141
FT	96	94	96	99	101	105	98
MA	91	123	139	137	108	236	152
Exam 2 Chart 2							

Standardized Lognormal Ratios				
	Exam 2 Chart 1			
	R1	R2	R3	R4
P				
EDA	-1.14	0.69		1.12
Cardio	-3.00	-3.00		0.90
WMean	-1.78	-0.59		1.04
Mean			-0.44	
Exam 2 Chart 2				
	R1	R2	R3	R4
P				
EDA	0.01	1.19	2.22	0.62

P1	C1 A404	R1 A429	C2 349	R2 A434	C3 A365	R3 444	R4 A429	Cardio	-2.68	1.74	2.26	-0.61
P2	A477	A518	496	A502	A377	541	A529	WMean	-0.92	1.38	2.23	0.19
EDA	27	27	30	15	23	9	20	Mean	0.72			
Cardio	64	74	52	32	20	29	50	Exam 2 Chart 3	R1	R2	R3	R4
SE	126	144	132	133	147	139	128	P	0.79	2.89	1.50	3.00
AR	107	115	109	108	93	127	118	EDA	-2.23	1.25	1.78	-3.00
FT	90	96	87	94	90	95	92	Cardio	-0.25	2.32	1.60	0.92
MA	113	321	133	110	80	119	124	WMean	1.15			
Exam 2 Chart 3								Mean				
	C1 A515	R1 A511	C2 A334	R2 A460	C3 A460	R3 A497	R4 A550					
P1	A567	A628	A367	A602	A548	A547	A655					
EDA	3	54	115	19	118	38	3					
Cardio	23	60	23	31	74	28	95					
SE	113	115	127	128	125	117	129					
AR	184	166	136	186	182	159	171					
FT	136	101	102	127	113	122	115					
MA	122	133	81	105	86	85	122					

Channel Contributions						
Component	Proportion	Area	Chart	Proportion	ID	Proportion
Pneumo	1.000	1.000	Exam 2 Chart 1	0.291	R1	0.059
EDA	0.000	0.000	Exam 2 Chart 2	0.331	R2	0.309
Cardio	0.000	0.000	Exam 2 Chart 3	0.378	R3	0.354
					R4	0.278

Note: Non-normal values

Results			
Weighted Mean			
-0.99 1.04 1.91 0.72			
Grand Total Mean			
0.67			

Advanced Options - OSS-3 v1.9	
General Scoring Settings	
Delete all zero measurements	Yes
Zero Threshold value	1
Allow a single CQ to score result (not for DLST)	No
Replace missing values with mean values	No
Check Extreme Contributions	No
Allow SR Result when extreme contributions	Yes
Kruskal-Wallis	Alpha Values (one-tailed)
Non-Significant Response (NSR)	0.1
Significant Response (SR)	0.05
	0.05
Test of Proportions alpha value (two-tailed)	Test of Proportions
Use Test of Proportions	0.1
Allow significant reaction result	Yes
Use all questions	Yes
Score neutral questions as control	No
	Event Specific/Single Issue (Zone)
Use Bonferroni	Yes
Use Kruskal-Wallis	No
Minimum number of useable presentations for RQs	2
P1	Measurement Periods
P2	15
EDA	15
Cardio	15
All other	15

37. The Florida Polygraph Association Randall Jones School for Continuing Studies of Advanced Polygraph Techniques Training Seminar, Daytona Beach, Florida, June 7-9, 2019 (14 hours of training).
38. The American Polygraph Association 54th Annual Seminar/Workshop in Orlando, FL. August 26-30, 2019 (32 hours of training).
39. Florida Polygraph Association Training Seminar, Melbourne Beach, Florida, June 11-13, 2021 (18 hours of training).
40. Florida Polygraph Association Training Seminar, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, October 22-24, 2021 (18 hours of training).
41. Florida Polygraph Association Seminar, Orlando, Florida, June 10-12, 2022 (18 hours of training).
42. Florida Polygraph Association Seminar, Orlando, Florida, November 16-19, 2023 (26 hours of training).
43. Florida Polygraph Association Seminar, Daytona Beach, FL, November 20-24, 2024 (26 hours of training).

III

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND ASSOCIATIONS

1. Certified FBI Polygraph Examiner, 1999 – 2011.
2. Polygraph Examiner and Polygraph Coordinator for the Baltimore, Maryland FBI office, 1999 – 2005. Conducted examinations in all areas of FBI responsibility, including applicant screening, security examinations of on-board employees, criminal matters, foreign counter-intelligence, counter terrorism, witness protection, Office of Professional Responsibility (internal affairs) and polygraph exams conducted abroad involving criminal, intelligence, terrorism and vetting exams of foreign police, prosecutors, judges and prison officials. Also coordinated exams for four other examiners and myself

and provided field training, certification and mentoring of new examiners. Also periodically served in a temporary duty status at FBIHQ in the Polygraph Unit conducting exams and doing quality control review of field exams submitted by other examiners.

3. Polygraph Examiner and coordinator for the Tampa, Florida FBI office. Received transfer to Tampa for the purpose of assuming responsibility for the polygraph program there. Performed the same duties as described above in the Baltimore office. In both locations maintained high levels of exam quality and admission/confession rates while conducting over 2,300 exams for the FBI.
4. Owner, Tampa Polygraph Services, LLC, 2011 to present. Have conducted approximately 1,000 exams for private attorneys, public defenders, law enforcement, private companies, private individuals, military contractors, and international security consulting firms. Exams conducted in California, Florida, Georgia, Pennsylvania, Washington, D.C., Argentina, Colombia (Spanish interpreters), Costa Rica, Dominican Republic (Spanish interpreter), Ecuador (Spanish interpreter), Haiti (using Creole interpreter) and Panama. Have conducted well over 3,200 polygraph exams.
5. Primary Instructor, Academy of Polygraph Science, Fort Myers, Florida, an APA and AAPP accredited school, 2012 to 2016.
6. Senior Polygraph Instructor at Peak Credibility Assessment Training Center, Cape Coral, Florida, an APA and AAPP accredited school. Mr. Orr has been a guest lecturer at the University of Baltimore School of Law, Stetson University Law School, the University of South Florida Forensic Psychology course, the American Association of Police Polygraphists annual seminar in Louisville, Kentucky, The Arizona Polygraph Association annual polygraph seminar in Phoenix, the California Association of Polygraph Examiners annual seminar in San Diego, California, the Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists in Ottawa, Canada, the Deadwood, South Dakota Regional Polygraph Seminar, Florida Polygraph Association seminars, the Global Polygraph Network seminar in Buffalo, New York, the Indiana Polygraph Association annual seminar in Indianapolis, the Mississippi Polygraph Association annual seminar in Oxford, Mississippi, the Tri-State Seminar in Greenville, S. C., the Utah Polygraph Association and

taught a 40 hour interview and Interrogation course to the Jamaican National Constabulary's Lottery Scam Task Force in Montego Bay for the U.S. Department of State and taught basic polygraph course for Ukrainian law enforcement in Kyiv for the U.S. Department of State.

7. Full Member of the American Polygraph Association, 2001 – present. Associate member, 1999 – 2001.
8. Former full member of the Maryland Polygraph Association.
9. Full member of the Florida Polygraph Association, 2005 – present. **FPA Certified Polygrapher. Serving as a member of the Board of Directors since June 2021, Vice President-Private since June 2022, and Chairman of the Board since December, 2023. Recipient of the November 2022 Outstanding Achievement Award in recognition of outstanding performance in the field of polygraph.**
10. Full member of the American Association of Police Polygraphists, 2013-present. **Recipient of the 2017 American Association of Police Polygraphists Region V Richard O. Arther Director's Award.**
11. Former member of the FBI Agent's Association.
12. Full Member of the Society of Former Special Agents of the FBI, January 2011 – present; National and Suncoast Chapters.
13. Member, Florida Association of Licensed Investigators, 2011-2019.
14. As a licensed private investigator, have conducted investigations for law enforcement (background), Hillsborough County Attorney's Office, defense and civil litigation attorneys, major companies, celebrities and television producers, as well as conducting electronic counter-surveillance sweeps.

POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS ADMITTED IN COURT

Mr. Orr conducted a polygraph examination on Jesus Hernando Angulo Mosquera in which that examination has been admitted as evidence, without stipulation, at trial. *United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division. United States of America vs Jesus Hernando Angulo Mosquera, Case No.: 8:14-cr-379-T-36TGW, Document 161, Filed 04/09/2014.* Subsequent to an evidentiary hearing on 12/23/2014 on the admissibility of polygraph evidence

in this matter under Federal Rule 702, the court granted and will permit the polygraph evidence to be admitted at trial.

Mr. Orr also testified as an expert witness before Judge Honeywell prior to sentencing regarding a polygraph examination he conducted on Laura Leyva in a healthcare fraud case. *United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division. United States of America vs Laura Leyva, Case No.: 8:14-CR-00107-CEH-AEP, Document 42, Filed 10/26/2014.*

In another case, United States of America vs Kathryn Jasen, Glenn Jasen, Case No.: 8:15-cr-214JDW-TBM-2, United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, a polygraph report of Mr. Orr was utilized by an attorney representing the defendant post-conviction. The report, prepared subsequent to a polygraph exam for the original attorney, was allowed by Judge James D. Whittemore to be considered for mitigation purposes during sentencing.

These three polygraph exams were featured in the August 2017 edition of "The Champion", a professional periodical of the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers. The article was authored by Christopher Kerr and was titled "Effective Defense Polygraphs". This article was reprinted with permission in the January/February edition of the American Polygraph Association journal.

In United States of America vs Deborah Pierre, Billy Altidor and Evanie Louis, Case No.: 6:19-cr-14-ORL-31 DCI, United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, polygraph reports of Mr. Orr were utilized in sentencing hearings by two attorneys from different law firms who represented two of the defendants in this case. The third defendant was acquitted, and the two remaining defendants risked losing cooperation credit for testifying against the acquitted co-defendant. After Judge Gregory A. Presnell admitted their polygraph exams, they revealed they had not committed perjury in testifying against their co-defendant and they got full credit for cooperation at sentencing.

In United States of America vs Bailyson; et al, Case No.: 9:18-cr-80124-RAR, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, Mr. Orr appeared at an evidentiary hearing in Miami to testify regarding a polygraph examination conducted on a co-defendant, Mark G. Agresti, M.D., in this matter post-conviction. Dr. Agresti is seeking a new trial. The prosecution indicated they had no questions to ask of the polygraph examiner and agreed to stipulate the admittance of the polygraph report. U. S District Court Judge Rodolfo A. Ruiz II said that he had the examiner's report and CV and agreed to admit the report into evidence without testimony. Judge Ruiz II thereafter granted Dr. Agresti a new trial.

MIAMI-DADE STATE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE CASE:

Justice Project Polygraph: Thomas Raynard James was arrested on May 9, 1990, for the January 17, 1990 robbery and murder of Francis McKinnon in Coral Gables, Florida. He was

subsequently convicted based solely on eye-witness testimony, with no physical evidence to connect him to the crime. The Miami-Dade State Attorney's Office (SAO) eventually looked into the case under its Justice Project. The final, and "very important" hurdle, in their investigation was a polygraph examination of the defendant conducted by James Orr on April 25, 2022. The SAO shared the cost of the exam with defense attorney Natalie Figgers and the State's polygraph examiner, Scott Weigman, monitored the exam. Mr. James was found by both examiners to be truthful when he denied any involvement in the robbery/murder. On April 27, 2022, in the Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit In And For Miami-Dade County, Florida, Case No. F90-23928, Judge Miguel de la O issued an order vacating all judgements, convictions and sentences in this case and Mr. James was released after serving 32 years of his life sentence.

Court of Arbitration for Sports, Lausanne, Switzerland:

Testified remotely by video feed on April 21, 2023, regarding a polygraph examination I conducted on November 14, 2022, for attorney Ariel Reck on a professional soccer player regarding a drug test that showed positive for a substance banned by the Federation of International Football Association (FIFA).

On February 9, 2024, James Orr testified in the Circuit Court of the 18th Judicial Circuit, Seminole County, Florida, Case No.: 2022-DR-002673 before the Honorable Melissa Souto in a Hearing for an Amended motion to dissolve final judgement of injunction. The expert testimony provided was regarding the August 16, 2022, polygraph examination conducted by Mr. Orr of Masudi Kisitu regarding an allegation of sexual assault.